

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.góv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,886	01/15/2002	Alan F. Washburn	31936	2636
7	7590 04/20/2004		EXAM	INER
HOVEY, WILLIAMS, TIMMONS & COLLINS			TORRES, ALICIA M	
Suite 400				
2405 Grand			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Kansas City, MO 64108		3671		

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/				
Advisory Action	10/046,886	5,886 WASHBURN, ALAN F. $\checkmark$					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alicia M Torres	3671	i				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened o	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I 36(a) and the appropriate efee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
<ul> <li>(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's</li> </ul>		•	may reduce any				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	l amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,12-15 and 26</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-11 and 16-25</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

117

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant is incorrect that the examiner has indicated that claim 25 is objected to and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. It is claim 26 that has been objected to, however applicant has failed to include all of the limitations of objected to claim 26 in independent form.

Thomas B. Will Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600